

Effect of Boundary Conditions on Cellular Automata that Classify Density

N. Sukumar

Alexander von Humboldt Fellow

Institut für physikalische und theoretische Chemie

Universität Bonn

Wegelerstraße 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

Permanent address : Theorie International

175/1 Lloyds Road, Gopalapuram, Chennai 600086 India

ABSTRACT

The properties of two-state nearest-neighbour cellular automata (CA) that are capable of density classification are discussed. It is shown that these CA actually conserve the total density, rather than merely classifying it. This is also the criterion for any CA simulation of DFT. The effect of boundary and periodicity conditions upon the evolution of such CA are elaborated by considering linear and cyclic lattices and boundary conditions consistent with the conservation criterion. In a bounded linear lattice, it is possible to achieve a configuration with a single 01 and no 10 domain wall, or *vice versa*, but this is not possible in a cyclic lattice, where these two domain walls have to appear in pairs. This determines the final stable state of the automaton.

It has recently been shown by Capcarrere, Sipper and Tomassini² that certain two-state $r=1$ Cellular Automata (CA), namely rules 184 and 226 in Wolfram's classification³, can perfectly classify the density, in contrast to a result proved earlier by Land and Belew⁴. This is due to a re-definition of the classification criterion for the final configuration in ref.2. The final configurations for these CA consist of a background of alternating bits, with a block of one or more adjacent 0's or 1's, depending upon whether the density of 1's in the initial configuration was less than or greater than 0.5. No other CA performs this density classification with comparable accuracy: rules 57 and 99 can classify the density with only 60% accuracy.

In this paper I point out the properties of the CA that make such density classification possible and the effect of boundary conditions upon the evolution of such CA. First consider the same periodic boundary conditions ($s_{N+1} = s_1$ where s_i is the value of site i) employed in ref.2 and define a "vacuum state" consisting purely of alternating 0's and 1's. The density classification is performed by the mutual annihilation of quasiparticles (two or more adjacent 1's) and holes (two or more adjacent 0's), which move in opposite directions through

the lattice, until there are no further quasiparticles or no further holes, depending upon which were fewer in the initial configuration. A pair of adjacent 1's and a pair of adjacent 0's (in a background of alternating bits) are topological solitons : one is a kink and the other an antikink. The topology is identical to that of quasi-1-D polymers like polyacetylene, where solitons have been identified and simulated by CA⁵.

During the evolution of the CA rules 184 or 226, the number of 0's and 1's are each conserved (so these CA are not merely classifying the density, but rather conserving the density). Such conservative CA were first discussed by Vichniac⁶. This conservative evolution is possible because CA rules 184 and 226 can be reformulated as two-site density interchanges or two-site spin flips (if the bits are visualized as spins). Rule 184 may be restated :

$$\text{Flip any } 10 \text{ ---} > 01; \text{ All else unchanged} \quad (1)$$

while rule 226 may be restated as :

$$\text{Flip any } 01 \text{ ---} > 10; \text{ All else unchanged} \quad (2)$$

This makes 1's and 0's (or opposite spins) propagate in opposite directions, unlike other conservative CA (rules

170 or 240) where both species drift in the same direction. Note that rules 57 and 99 are not perfect density classifiers, since they donot conserve the density (they change a block of contiguous 0's into a block of 1's and vice versa, at each step).

The final state Φ_{alt} of a CA (rule 184 or 226) consisting of N sites, with average density $\rho = 0.5$, is achieved within $N/2$ steps and oscillates between a configuration where $s_i = 0$ for all even i , $s_i = 1$ for all odd i and a configuration where $s_i = 1$ for all even i , $s_i = 0$ for all odd i (an "antiferromagnetic" state in the spin analogy). When the density is different from 0.5 there are solitonic structures, consisting of either only 1's (for $\rho > 0.5$) or only 0's (for $\rho < 0.5$) superposed upon Φ_{alt} , which propagate continuously around the lattice.

The situation changes markedly when the boundary condition is no longer periodic. Another set of possible boundary conditions consistent with the criterion of density conservation is :

$$s_0 = 0, \ s_{N+1} = 1 \text{ for Rule 184} \quad (3)$$

$$s_0 = 1, \ s_{N+1} = 0 \text{ for Rule 226} \quad (4)$$

With a suitably bounded linear lattice, the "antiferromagnetic" state Φ_{alt} (obtained with the periodic lattice) is no longer stable. Φ_{alt} evolves further, to give a state consisting of a single block (Φ_o) of 0's and a single block (Φ_1) of 1s ("ferromagnetic" domains), which is then a stable end point of the CA evolution. The entire evolution is completed within $N-1$ steps. Writing $W_r^T|\phi(m, n) >$ for the application of Wolfram's CA rule r upon an arbitrary initial configuration $|\phi(m, n) >$ consisting of m 0's and n 1's, for T steps, we now have

$$W_{184}^T|\phi(m, n) > = |\Phi_o(m)\Phi_1(n) > \text{ for all } T > N - 1 \quad (5)$$

where the block of m 0's appears to the left of the block of n 1's, and

$$W_{226}^T|\phi(m, n) > = |\Phi_1(n)\Phi_o(m) > \text{ for all } T > N - 1 \quad (6)$$

where the block of m 0's appears to the right of the block of n 1's. Thus W_{184}^{N-1} and W_{226}^{N-1} act as ordering operators (as in the normal ordering of creation and annihilation operators in many body theory).

The effect of the boundary conditions upon the final configurations of the CA arises from the changed topology of the lattice. From equation (1), it is seen that the 10

domain wall is unstable under W_{184} while the 01 domain wall is stable. In a bounded linear lattice, it is possible to achieve an arrangement with a single 01 and no 10 domain wall (as in the RHS of equation 5), but this is not possible in a periodic lattice (which has the topology of a circle) where these two domain walls have to appear in pairs. Likewise the 10 domain wall is stable under W_{226} while the 01 domain wall is unstable. As a result Φ_{alt} is unstable under rules 184 and 226 in a linear lattice with the boundary conditions (3) or (4).

REFERENCES :

1. H. Singh, N. Sukumar and B. M. Deb, " *Atom as a Complex System : One- and Two-Dimensional Cellular Automata Simulations*" Int. J. Quantum Chem. **60**, 21 (1996)
2. M. S. Capcarrere, M. Sipper and M. Tomassini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4969 (1996)
3. S. Wolfram, Physica **10 D**, 1 (1984); S. Wolfram, ed. " *Theory and Applications of Cellular Automata*" (World Scientific, Singapore, 1986)
4. M. Land and R. K. Belew, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5148 (1995)
5. N. Sukumar, in " *New Challenges in Computational Quantum Chemistry*", ed. by P. Bagus and R. Broer (University of Groningen, The Netherlands, 1994) and references therein.
6. G. Y. Vichniac, Physica **10 D**, 96 (1984)